P.R. No. 6182/05
Presented by Shri K.D. Tamaskar
dated 1/12/05

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 6119 /2005

PETITIONER : **DR. ANJALI KASHYAP**
Aged about 42 years
D/o. Shri J.L.Kashyap
Assistant Professor (Political Science)
Government Girls College, Dhamtari
Tahsil & Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**
Through : Principal Secretary
Higher Education Department
Govt. Of Chhattisgarh Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **PRINCIPAL**
Government Girls College
Dhamtari (C.G.)

3. **SHRI J.L. PATIL**
Assistant Professor (Sociology)
Govt. Girls College. Dhamtari (CG)

4. **SHRI R.K.AGRAWAL**
Assistant Professor (Economics)
Govt. Girls College, Dhamtari (CG).

5. **PRINCIPAL SECRETARY**
Cultural Education and Tourism
Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY 1.12.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 6119/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

8-12-2005

Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Govt. Girls College Dhamtari to Govt. College, Bemetara. Counsel further submits that she is the only lady teaching Political science in the Govt. Girls College, Dhamtari and she was also working on the project of ladies N.S.S. and the instructions are that the work should be done by a lady only and there is no lady except petitioner available there. He further submits that the transfer has been made during ban period. He further submitted that earlier a representation was submitted by the petitioner.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising her grievances before the respondent no. 1 along with a copy of the petition and this order within 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the respondent No. 1 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and she may be allowed to continue till the decision of the representation.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, she may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 4857/2005 and I.A. No. 10115/2005 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge